

CHROMBIO. 1985

**Letter to the Editor**

---

**Liquid chromatographic determination of mitomycin C in plasma and urine**

Sir,

Den Hartigh et al. state [1] that the main drawback of our method [2] for the determination of mitomycin C in plasma and urine samples compared to other published methods [3, 4] is the varying recovery obtained at different concentration levels using a Sep-Pak C<sub>18</sub> extraction procedure. However, in neither ref. 3 nor ref. 4 are the recovery and precision at different concentration levels presented. The conclusion of a concentration-independent recovery in refs. 3 and 4 is based on the fact that linear regression analysis of the calibration curves gives correlation coefficients in the order 0.999. The difficulties in interpreting the results from a linear regression analysis with a wide range of the variables (ref. 3: 1–1500 ng/ml; ref. 4: 5–1000 ng/ml) have been discussed in refs. 5 and 6. For example, linear regression analysis of the data in ref. 2 gives *r* values of 1.0000 and 0.9997 for plasma and urine samples, respectively. In summary, no conclusion regarding the recovery and precision of mitomycin C at different concentration levels can be drawn from the data presented in refs. 3 and 4.

The stability of mitomycin C was studied at pH < 7. The aim of the study was to find suitable conditions for the chromatographic procedure (the chromatographic support materials available are only stable at pH values below 7).

We are well aware of the problems associated with the handling of biological samples containing low concentrations of cytostatic drugs (see ref. 7). This has not been discussed in ref. 2, or in the paper by Den Hartigh et al. [3].

*Karolinska Pharmacy,  
Box 60024,  
S-10401 Stockholm  
(Sweden)*

S. EKSBERG\*  
H. EHRSSON  
A. LINDFORS

- 1 J. den Hartigh, W.J. van Oort and A. Hulshoff, *J. Chromatogr.*, 306 (1984) 444.
- 2 S. Eksborg, H. Ehrsson and A. Lindfors, *J. Chromatogr.*, 274 (1983) 263.
- 3 J. den Hartigh, W.J. van Oort, M.C.Y.M. Bocken and H.M. Pinedo, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 127 (1981) 47.
- 4 U.R. Tjaden, J.P. Langenberg, K. Ensing, W.P. van Bennekom, E.A. de Bruyn and A.T. van Oosterom, *J. Chromatogr.*, 232 (1982) 355.

- 5 S. Eksborg, *Clin. Chem.* 27 (1981) 1311.
- 6 M. Thompson, *Analyst* (London), 107 (1982) 1169.
- 7 S. Eksborg, H. Ehrsson, I. Wallin and A. Lindfors, *Acta Pharm. Suecica*, 18 (1981) 215.

(Received October 24th, 1983)